

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR ATTO	DRNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,173	03/31/2004		Salvatore F. Nati		A8699 4480		
23373	7590	12/07/2006	•		EXAM	IINER	
SUGHRUE	,	PLLC A AVENUE, N.	w		HELLNE	R, MARK	
SUITE 800	LLVAINI	A A V LIVOL, IV.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037					3663		

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/813,173	NATI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark Hellner	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	ψ.	,					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-39 and 58-73 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 40-57 and 74 is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>40-57 and 74</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•	•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>o6/8</u> 9/2004; 09/16/2005 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/813,173

Art Unit: 3663

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election without traverse of Invention C, (Figure 7) in the reply filed on October 25, 2006 is acknowledged.

No generic claim was set forth by Applicant's election.

Claims 1-39 and 58-73 include subject matter that is not disclosed by figure 7, and, as such, are hereby withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected embodiment.

Claims 40-57 and 74 are readable on figure 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 40-57 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gu et al.

Gu et al (Figure 7c) disclose a high power fiber amplifier system comprising: a master oscillator (Seed Laser) operable to generate a plurality of uniform laser pulses (schematically shown); a down counter that receives the plurality of uniform laser pulses and outputs selected ones of the pulsed, the other pulses being attenuated (see schematic); and a power amplifier (Fiber Laser Amplifier) operable to receive the selected pulses from the down counter to amplify the selected pulses.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,173

Art Unit: 3663

The difference between the subject matter of claim 50 - 57 and Gu et al is the use of a pulse selector and pulse attenuator for the function of the down counter (703).

Paragraph 0158 of Gu et al teaches that a high speed optical switch be used for `the down counter and, as such, suggests a pulse selector and pulse attenuator which are inherent elements of a high speed switch.

Claims 40 – 49 and 74 are rejected for the reasons applied to claims 50-57.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 571 272 6981.

Mark Hellner

**Primary Examiner** 

AU 3663

Mark Hellow